

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|   |             |                      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                 |  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                   | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
| 10/631,371  | 07/31/2003  | Ralph A. Heasley     | 287.1006                              | 2138            |  |
| 23280 7590 10/23/2007<br>DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC<br>485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR |             |                      | EXAMINER                              |                 |  |
|   |             |                      | FUBARA, BLESSING M                    |                 |  |
| NEW YORK, 1   | NY 10018    |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER                 |                 |  |
|   |             |                      | 1618                                  |                 |  |
|   |             |                      |                                       |                 |  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE                             | DELIVERY MODE   |  |
|   |             |                      | 10/23/2007                            | PAPER           |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.    | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|--------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/631,371         | HEASLEY ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner           | Art Unit       |  |  |
| Blessing M. Fubara | 1618           |  |  |

|  | Blessing M. Fubara   | 1618  |                   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence add                               | ress              |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | R ALLOWANCE.                                    |                   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> </ol>   |  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>NOTICE OF APPEAL</li> <li>2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ul>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                           |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l  | but prior to the date of filing a brief,                                       | , will not be entered be                        | ecause            |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cond</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in betom</li> </ul>  | nsideration and/or search (see NO w);  | TE below);                                      |                   |  |  |  |  |
| appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   |  | ected claims.                                   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  | ` ''   | ······································          | (DTOL 224)        |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13   |  | ompliant Amendment                              | (PTOL-324).       |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   |  | timely filed amondme                            | ent concoling the |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).  |  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  |  | II be entered and an e                          | explanation of    |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   | <del>-</del>   | •         | - <del>-</del>    |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)( | ls to provide a   |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | t does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa                          | nce because:      |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |                   |  |  |  |  |

## Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The amendment to claims 25, 32, 35, 36 and 37 requiring the release of the tranexamic acid to occur over a period of about 120 minutes is not envisioned at the time the original specification was filed. What was envisioned is a release over an extended period of about 60 minutes to about 120 minutes. Lines 17-19 of page 7 of the specification specifically states: "Thus, tranexamic acid release occurs at a controlled rate over an extended period, e.g., about 60 minutes to about 120 minutes." Thus the recitation of release occurring at over a period of about 120 minutes introduces new matter into the claims. Secondly, the amendment require the examiner to further consider a release that may occur over a period of about 120 minutes, which is not deemed to place the claims in condition for allowance because the release rate is consequence of the polymer matrix that influences release patterns and profiles.



MICHAEL G. HARTLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER